## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE LODGED RECEIVED

OCT 18 2017

KYLE LYDELL CANTY Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:16-CV-01655 RAJ-JPD

VS.

CITY OF SEATTLE Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S
(PROPOSED) MOTION
AND ORDER
PURSUANT TO
LCR 6
"ORAL ARGUMENT

'ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED" NOTE ON MOTION CALENDAR OCTOBER 13, 2017

COMES NOW, Once again for the Second time, the Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty In Propria Persona and of Sui Juris on this 2nd day of October year 2017, hereby moves this Court Pursuant to LCR6

P1 015

"Extention of time request"

Relief Requested

The Plaintiff Kyle lydell Canty for the third time in a row is requestingi

- (A) Appointment of Counsel, there are material disputes, meaning the defendants half Way discovery and evidence differs from the Plaintiff's documents, evidence discovery, Witness Statements, facts, mental health records already in the plaintiff's Possession ("Question of law)
- (B) Extention of time to argue every motion and response to motions filed Orally, meaning the Plaintiff Wants to be in front of the Judge. The defendants have filed a motion for Summary Judgement because they Know they Would lose at trial The Plaintiff does not have enough time to defeat the Summary Judgment locked UP at Shelton Correctional facility

P 2 055

(c) The Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty is Scheduled to be released from shelton Correctional facility November 1,2017 and he requests an extention of time to at least try to defeat the Motion of Summary Judgement that all defendants have Submitted to the Courts, it's Kind of odd that the deadline for the response to the Motion for Summary Judgment is october 29, 2017?

## Statement of issues

athe U.S. District Courts Western district of Washington Knowingly and intentionally have not been filing all of the Plaintiff's motions, one in Particular titled "motion of appointment of Counsel Should the U.S. district Courts Western district of Washington be allowed to Sabotage the Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty's Civil Case?

(2) The defendants all have filed motions
P3 of 5

for Summary Judgments, and motions to dismiss knowing that the Plaintiff is due to be released from Prison "set date November 1, 2017, in less than thirty days knowing already that the Plaintiff does not have full access to the law, as noted already in the official Court records Should the U.S. district Courts Western district of Washington Continue to allow this type of Cheating?

## Evidence Relied Upon

The Plaintiff Kyle Mdell Canty relies on the official Court record, and also the official inmate release look-up, in which is a U.S. opvernment ran Website "gov"

## Legal Argument

The Question now becomes. "is the U.S. District Courts Western District of Washington, more Concernd With Saving money by not allowing the Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty to have

P4 055

a trial by Jury? or is the U.S. District Courts Western District of Washington going to UP-hold the United States Constitution and let nature take it's Course in a United States District Courthouse While the Plaintiff Kyle Lydell Canty is Present along With Twelve members of the Jury?

Judges Signature

Kyle Lydell Canty

Prepared by: 2/10/02/2017

Parham V. Johnson 126 F.3d 454, 461 (3d Cir. 1997)

Greeno V. Daley, 414 F.3d 645 (7th Cir 2005)

Farmer V. Haas, 990 F. 2d 319, 322 (7th Cir 1993 P 5 025 Kyle Lydell Canty
Doc# 401358
Washington Corrections Center
P.O. Box 900
Shelton, WA 98584



icesi mosc

© USPS 2013

THIS ENVELOPE IS RECYCLABLE AND MADE WITH 30% POST CONSUMER CONTENT